NOVEMBER 2015

McKinsey Quarterly

Four fundamentals of workplace automation

Michael Chui, James Manyika, and Mehdi Miremadi

As the automation of physical and knowledge work advances, many jobs will be redefined rather than eliminated—at least in the short term.

The potential of artificial intelligence and advanced robotics to perform tasks once reserved for humans is no longer reserved for spectacular demonstrations by the likes of IBM's Watson, Rethink Robotics' Baxter, DeepMind, or Google's driverless car. Just head to an airport: automated check-in kiosks now dominate many airlines' ticketing areas. Pilots actively steer aircraft for just three to seven minutes of many flights, with autopilot guiding the rest of the journey. Passport-control processes at some airports can place more emphasis on scanning document bar codes than on observing incoming passengers.

What will be the impact of automation efforts like these, multiplied many times across different sectors of the economy?¹ Can we look forward to vast improvements in productivity, freedom from boring work, and improved quality of life? Should we fear threats to jobs, disruptions to organizations, and strains on the social fabric?²

Earlier this year, we launched research to explore these questions and investigate the potential that automation technologies hold

² For a proposed agenda to examine some of these topics, see "Research priorities for robust and beneficial artificial intelligence: An open letter," Future of Life Institute, January 11, 2015, futureoflife.org.

¹ Leading perspectives on the changes under way include Erik Brynjolfsson and Andrew McAfee, *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*, New York: W. W. Norton, 2014; Carl Benedikt Frey and Michael A. Osborne, "The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation?," Oxford Martin School Programme on the Impacts of Future Technology, September 17, 2013, futuretech.ox.ac.uk; and David H. Autor, "Why are there still so many jobs? The history and future of workplace automation," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Summer 2015, Volume 29, Number 3, pp. 3–30, aeaweb.org/jep.

for jobs, organizations, and the future of work.³ Our results to date suggest, first and foremost, that a focus on *occupations* is misleading. Very few occupations will be automated in their entirety in the near or medium term. Rather, certain *activities* are more likely to be automated, requiring entire business processes to be transformed, and jobs performed by people to be redefined, much like the bank teller's job was redefined with the advent of ATMs.

More specifically, our research suggests that as many as 45 percent of the activities individuals are paid to perform can be automated by adapting currently demonstrated technologies.⁴ In the United States, these activities represent about \$2 trillion in annual wages. Although we often think of automation primarily affecting lowskill, low-wage roles, we discovered that even the highest-paid occupations in the economy, such as financial managers, physicians, and senior executives, including CEOs, have a significant amount of activity that can be automated.

The organizational and leadership implications are enormous: leaders from the C-suite to the front line will need to redefine jobs and processes so that their organizations can take advantage of the automation potential that is distributed across them. And the opportunities extend far beyond labor savings. When we modeled the potential of automation to transform business processes across several industries, we found that the benefits (ranging from increased output to higher quality and improved reliability, as well as the potential to perform some tasks at superhuman levels) typically are between three and ten times the cost. The magnitude of those benefits suggests that the ability to staff, manage, and lead increasingly automated organizations will become an important competitive differentiator.

- ³ This initiative builds on earlier McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) work describing a range of disruptive technologies, which could multiply the capacity of companies to automate physical and intellectual tasks. For the full MGI report, see "Disruptive technologies: Advances that will transform life, business, and the global economy," May 2013, on mckinsey.com. This research has examined the economic potential of disruptive technologies that can automate physical work (for example, advanced robotics, 3-D printing, and autonomous vehicles) as well as those that can automate knowledge work requiring intellectual effort and the ability to interact with others (for example, various types of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning).
- ⁴ We define "currently demonstrated technologies" as ones that have already exhibited the level of performance and reliability needed to automate one or more of the 18 capabilities required for carrying out work activities. In some cases, that performance has been demonstrated in a commercially available product and in others as part of a research project.

Our research is ongoing, and in 2016, we will release a detailed report. What follows here are four interim findings elaborating on the core insight that the road ahead is less about automating individual jobs wholesale, than it is about automating the activities within occupations and redefining roles and processes.

1. The automation of activities

These preliminary findings are based on data for the US labor market. We structured our analysis around roughly 2,000 individual work activities,⁵ and assessed the requirements for each of these activities against 18 different capabilities that potentially could be automated (Exhibit 1). Those capabilities range from fine motor skills and navigating in the physical world, to sensing human emotion and producing natural language. We then assessed the "automatability" of those capabilities through the use of current, leading-edge technology, adjusting the level of capability required for occupations where work occurs in unpredictable settings.

The bottom line is that 45 percent of work activities could be automated using already demonstrated technology. If the technologies that process and "understand" natural language were to reach the median level of human performance, an additional 13 percent of work activities in the US economy could be automated. The magnitude of automation potential reflects the speed with which advances in artificial intelligence and its variants, such as machine learning, are challenging our assumptions about what is automatable. It's no longer the case that only routine, codifiable activities are candidates for automation and that activities requiring "tacit" knowledge or experience that is difficult to translate into task specifications are immune to automation.

In many cases, automation technology can already match, or even exceed, the median level of human performance required. For instance, Narrative Science's artificial-intelligence system, Quill,

⁵ Our analysis used "detailed work activities," as defined by O*NET, a program sponsored by the US Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.

Exhibit 1

To grasp the impact of technological automation, we structured our analysis around 2,000 distinct work activities.

Occupations		Activities	
	Deteileelee	(retail example)	
	Retail sales- people	Capabilities	
		Greet customers Social	
	Food- and beverage- service workers	Social and emotional sensing	
		Answer Social and emotional reasoning	
		questions about Emotional and social output	
		services	
	Teachers	Clean and Cognitive	
		maintain Understanding natural language	
•	Health practitioners	work areas Generating natural language	
		Retrieving information	
		Demonstrate product features Recognizing known patterns/ categories (supervised learning)	
	• • •	Generating novel patterns/catego	ries
		Logical reasoning/problem solving	g
		Process sales Optimizing and planning	
800 accupations		and transactions Creativity	
~800 occupations		Articulating/displaying output	
		• Coordinating with multiple agents	
		•	
		Physical Sensory perception	
		~2,000 activities Sensory perception Fine motor skills/dexterity	
		occupations Gross motor skills	
		Navigation	
		Mobility	

McKinsey&Company | Source: Expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

analyzes raw data and generates natural language, writing reports in seconds that readers would assume were written by a human author. Amazon's fleet of Kiva robots is equipped with automation technologies that plan, navigate, and coordinate among individual robots to fulfill warehouse orders roughly four times faster than the company's previous system. IBM's Watson can suggest available treatments for specific ailments, drawing on the body of medical research for those diseases.

2. The redefinition of jobs and business processes

According to our analysis, fewer than 5 percent of occupations can be entirely automated using current technology. However, about 60 percent of occupations could have 30 percent or more of their constituent activities automated. In other words, automation is likely to change the vast majority of occupations—at least to some degree—which will necessitate significant job redefinition and a transformation of business processes. Mortgage-loan officers, for instance, will spend much less time inspecting and processing rote paperwork and more time reviewing exceptions, which will allow them to process more loans and spend more time advising clients. Similarly, in a world where the diagnosis of many health issues could be effectively automated, an emergency room could combine triage and diagnosis and leave doctors to focus on the most acute or unusual cases while improving accuracy for the most common issues.

As roles and processes get redefined, the economic benefits of automation will extend far beyond labor savings. Particularly in the highest-paid occupations, machines can augment human capabilities to a high degree, and amplify the value of expertise by increasing an individual's work capacity and freeing the employee to focus on work of higher value. Lawyers are already using text-mining techniques to read through the thousands of documents collected during discovery, and to identify the most relevant ones for deeper review by legal staff. Similarly, sales organizations could use automation to generate leads and identify more likely opportunities for crossselling and upselling, increasing the time frontline salespeople have for interacting with customers and improving the quality of offers.

3. The impact on high-wage occupations

Conventional wisdom suggests that low-skill, low-wage activities on the front line are the ones most susceptible to automation. We're now able to scrutinize this view using the comprehensive database of occupations we created as part of this research effort. It encompasses not only occupations, work activities, capabilities, and their automatability, but also the wages paid for each occupation.⁶

Our work to date suggests that a significant percentage of the activities performed by even those in the highest-paid occupations (for example, financial planners, physicians, and senior executives) can be automated by adapting current technology.⁷ For example, we estimate that activities consuming more than 20 percent of a CEO's working time could be automated using current technologies. These include analyzing reports and data to inform operational decisions, preparing staff assignments, and reviewing status reports. Conversely, there are many lower-wage occupations such as home health aides, landscapers, and maintenance workers, where only a very small percentage of activities could be automated with technology available today (Exhibit 2).

4. The future of creativity and meaning

Capabilities such as creativity and sensing emotions are core to the human experience and also difficult to automate. The amount of time that workers spend on activities requiring these capabilities, though, appears to be surprisingly low. Just 4 percent of the work activities across the US economy require creativity at a median human level of performance. Similarly, only 29 percent of work activities require a median human level of performance in sensing emotion.

⁶ In addition to analyzing the relationship between automatability and compensation levels, the inclusion of wages allows us to compare the potential costs to implement automation with labor costs, which inherently reflect supply, demand, and elasticity dynamics.

 $^{^7}$ Using a linear model, we find the correlation between wages and automatability (the percentage of time spent on activities that can be automated by adapting currently demonstrated technology) in the US economy to be significant (p-value < 0.01), but with a high degree of variability (r² = 0.19).

Exhibit 2

The hourly-wage rate alone is not a strong predictor of automatability, despite some correlation between the two.

Comparison of wages and automation potential for US jobs

Ability to automate, % of time spent on activities¹ that can be automated by adapting currently demonstrated technology



¹Our analysis used "detailed work activities," as defined by O*NET, a program sponsored by the US Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.

 2 Using a linear model, we find the correlation between wages and automatability in the US economy to be significant (p-value <0.01), but with a high degree of variability (r² = 0.19).

Source: O*NET 2014 database; McKinsey analysis

McKinsey&Company

While these findings might be lamented as reflecting the impoverished nature of our work lives, they also suggest the potential to generate a greater amount of meaningful work. This could occur as automation replaces more routine or repetitive tasks, allowing employees to focus more on tasks that utilize creativity and emotion. Financial advisors, for example, might spend less time analyzing clients' financial situations, and more time understanding their needs and explaining creative options. Interior designers could spend less time taking measurements, developing illustrations, and ordering materials, and more time developing innovative design concepts based on clients' desires.

• • •

These interim findings, emphasizing the clarity brought by looking at automation through the lens of work activities as opposed to jobs, are in no way intended to diminish the pressing challenges and risks that must be understood and managed. Clearly, organizations and governments will need new ways of mitigating the human costs, including job losses and economic inequality, associated with the dislocation that takes place as companies separate activities that can be automated from the individuals who currently perform them. Other concerns center on privacy, as automation increases the amount of data collected and dispersed. The quality and safety risks arising from automated processes and offerings also are largely undefined, while the legal and regulatory implications could be enormous. To take one case: who is responsible if a driverless school bus has an accident?

Nor do we yet have a definitive perspective on the likely pace of transformation brought by workplace automation. Critical factors include the speed with which automation technologies are developed, adopted, and adapted, as well as the speed with which organization leaders grapple with the tricky business of redefining processes and roles. These factors may play out differently across industries. Those where automation is mostly software based can expect to capture value much faster and at a far lower cost. (The financial-services sector, where technology can readily manage straight-through transactions and trade processing, is a prime example.) On the other hand, businesses that are capital or hardware intensive, or constrained by heavy safety regulation, will likely see longer lags between initial investment and eventual benefits, and their pace of automation may be slower as a result.

All this points to new top-management imperatives: keep an eye on the speed and direction of automation, for starters, and then determine where, when, and how much to invest in automation. Making such determinations will require executives to build their understanding of the economics of automation, the trade-offs between augmenting versus replacing different types of activities with intelligent machines, and the implications for human skill development in their organizations. The degree to which executives embrace these priorities will influence not only the pace of change within their companies, but also to what extent those organizations sharpen or lose their competitive edge. **o**

The authors wish to thank McKinsey's Rick Cavolo, Martin Dewhurst, Katy George, Andrew Grant, Sean Kane, Bill Schaninger, Stefan Spang, and Paul Willmott for their contributions to this article.

Michael Chui is a principal at the McKinsey Global Institute, where **James Manyika** is a director; **Mehdi Miremadi** is a principal in McKinsey's Chicago office.

Copyright © 2015 McKinsey & Company. All rights reserved.